

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,200	02/13/2001	John D. Winter	06950.0167.DVUS02	9100
75	90 05/29/2002			
Andrea G. Reister Howrey Simon Arnold & White, LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			POPOVICS, ROBERT J	
Box No. 34 Washington, DO	20004-2402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	6
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			1-6
	Application No. 09/78/200	Applicant(s)	+ al.
Office Action Summary	Examiner Popovic	Gr	oup Art Unit 723
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears			spondence address—
Period for Reply	30 DAX		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 30	MONTH(6) FR	OM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply in the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	oly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) da m the mailing date o become ABANDON	rys will be considered timely. If this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on /o/H/o	1		
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except 1 accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to th	e merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims	·		
**Claim(s) 22 - 146		is/are pend	ing in the application.
Of the above claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)		is/are allow	ed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are reject	ted.
		=	•
☐ Claim(s)		are subject	to restriction or election
Application Papers		requiremen	t
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved [☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re-	ceived.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	•)	•
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	A		
in this national stage application from the International	•	••	
*Certified copies not received:			•
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗆 In	terview Summary	, PTO-413
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Informal F	Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ O t	ther	
Office Act	ion Summary		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. —

6

Application/Control Number: 09/781,200

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Method Species	Corresponding Drawing Figures
1	2a
2	2b
3	2c
4	2d
5	2e

Flight Geometry Species	Corresponding Drawing Figures
į I	6
ii	7
iii	8

Applicant is <u>required</u> under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species *from each* of the two groupings above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appear to be generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,200

Art Unit: 1723

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement <u>must include an identification of</u>
the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims
readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is
allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to on to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/781,200 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

4. It is noted that the first page of the specification does not refer to the parent applicant, and that Fig. 1 does not include a "Prior Art" label. Additionally, the use of the trademark ORIMULSION® has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. Applicant is encouraged to correct these formalities.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Robert Popovics whose telephone number is (703) 308-0684, and who can normally be reached at this number from 12:00 A.M. through 6:00 P.M. (EST) M-F.

Robert James Popovics
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723

rjp May 23, 2002

£.